



- Introduction
- Load bearing precast
- Facia precast
- Long span precast
- 1. Slabs
- 2. "double-T " slabs
- Miscellaneous

INTRODUCTION

✓ Plant-fabrication, Quality control.
✓ Span/ depth for beam/ HCS : 15/35
✓ Speed
✓ Unmatched surface finishes and shapes
✓ Maintenance free
✓ Fire resistance and durability
✓ Better stability under seismic, wind , thermal changes, acoustic , vibration as material is massive and heavy



Construction speed

12 February 2008, 4 slabs

13 April 2008, 11 slabs



STATUS OF IN SITU BUILDING ON CORRESPONDING DATES





-<u>Control Tower for Drag race</u>

□ 27th Dec. 2010 10th Jan. 2011





✓ Greater span-to-depth ratios,

Strands	Span	15.0	15.5	16.0	16.5	17.0	17.5	18.0	18.5	19.C	19.5	20.C	20.5	21.0
4x125 + 6x157 mm	Quls	17.7	16.1	14.5	13.1	11.9	10.7	9.7	8.74	7.8	7.01	6.24	5.53	
$M_{\rm Hr} = 888 \ {\rm KN} - {\rm M}$	QsIs	8.96	8.0	7.1	6.3	5.6	4.95	4.35	3.8	3.27	2.8	2.4	1.95	
$V_{CO} = 331 \text{ KN}$	С	-10.2	-9.7	-9.0	-8.1	-6.93	-5.6	-4.0	-2.1	0.0	2.44	5.20	8.2	
	U	2.76	3.14	3.57	4.04	4.55	5.1	5.7	6.38	7.1	7.87	8.7	9.62	
5x125 + 6x157 mm	Quls	18.8	17.1	15.6	14.1	12.8	11.6	10.6	9.5	8.5	7.7	6.87	6.13	5.43
$M_{\rm Hr} = 926 \ \rm KN - M$	QsIs	9.60	8.60	7.7	6.87	6.12	5.43	4.8	4.21	3.7	3.18	2.72	2.3	5.43 1.90
$V_{CO} = 338 \text{ KN}$	С	-11.9	-11.5	-10.9	-10.1	-9.10	-7.89	-6.4	-4.7	-2.7	-0.4	2.2	5.14	8.45
VCO- 000 111	U	2.76	3.14	3.57	4.04	4.55	5.11	5.7	6.4	7.1	7.8	8.7	9.62	10.59
3x125 + 8x157 mm	Quls	19.6	17.8	16.2	14.7	13.4	12.1	11.0	9.99	9.02	8.13	7.31	6.54	5.83
Mur = 953 KN - M	QsIs	10.1	9.1	8.2	7.35	6.57	5.85	5.2	4.59	4.04	3.52	3.05	2.6	2.19
$V_{CO} = 341$ KN	С		-13.2	-12.7	-12.1	-11.2	-10.1	-8.7	-7.1	-5.31	-3.16	-0.7	2.1	5.27
VCO- OTT INN	U		3.14	3.5	4.04	4.55	5.1	5.7	6.38	7.1	7.87	8.7	9.62	10.59







FINISHES



FINISHES



FINISHES









	***********		************				
				**********	*************		
	***********		1119 TA		***************		
					A		****************
				**************************************	10100100100000000	Ford Constant and a second second	

		*********		1	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~		

				and a second second			
				***************************************	***********		

			*****************	***********			

					• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
**********	***********						

		10 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					

					***************		CONTRACTOR COLLEGE





Tilting table

Battery Mould

Easy Maintenance



LOAD BEARING PRECAST

<u>Column beam type</u>



Or load bearing walls

.





<u>Column Beam type</u>







CONNECTION FOR BEAM, SLAB AND WALL

CONNECTION FOR WALL TO WALL AND SLAB





CALCULATION MODEL FOR STABILITY







TYPICAL SHEAR WALL



COMPLETED STRUCTURE



The method used to resist lateral forces;

✓ Cantilever column for low-rise building
✓ Shear wall
✓ Steel or concrete X-bracing
✓ Moment resisting frames

Shear Wall Systems

3.5.1 Introduction

3.5

Buildings which use shear walls as the lateral force resisting system provide a safe, serviceable and economical solution for wind and earthquake resistance. Shear walls make up most common lateral force resisting systems in the precast, prestressed concrete industry. The excellent performance of shear wall buildings throughout the world, that have been subjected to earthquakes, testifies to the effectiveness of this system. Experience in earthquakes worldwide shows that, in many cases, shear wall buildings continue to be used with full functions after an earthquake. The design of these buildings has typically followed principles used for cast-in-place structures, with modifications made as appropriate for the jointed nature of a precast concrete structural system. Design methods used to achieve successful performance of precast shear wall structures have been largely left to the ingenuity and judgment of the
been largely left to the ingenuity and judgment of the design engineer. Observations of performance of structures in earthquakes show that where adequate strength and stiffness were provided to limit interstory drift to about 2%, the resulting displacements and damage were within acceptable levels. In regions of low and moderate seismicity, dry connections with small grout joints are generally used. In regions of high seismicity, connections to the foundation, and connections between precast walls, generally use details which emulate cast-inplace behavior (Section 3.1.2.1) and may include

1. Development of shear wall system

- ✓ Provide at least three non-collinear walls to ensure torsional as well as direct lateral resistance.
- ✓ Overturning will often be the governing criterion. Thus, the first choice is to use shear walls that also function as bearing walls.
- ✓ Arrange shear walls so that they minimize restraint due to volume changes.
- ✓ Consider whether the shear walls could be individual full height walls (vertical joints only).
- ✓ Consider the practicality of shipping and erection when selecting the size of wall panels.

2. Determination of vertical and lateral loads.

- ✓ Determines the vertical gravity loads that are applicable to each of the shear walls.
- ✓ Use the applicable seismic design criteria to determine the magnitude of lateral load at each floor, and compare with wind loading and lateral load induced by its own weight. Choose the critical conditions for design.

3. Diaphragm design

- ✓ Design the diaphragms to respond elastically to applied lateral loads in order to prevent formation of plastic regions in any diaphragm.
- ✓ Design the diaphragms as beams, provide the necessary tensile reinforcement, for each chord, and choose shear connectors . The diaphragms are flexible when leteral deflection is more than twice storey drift.





Minimum reinforcement is to be provided always as per FIB.



Translation and rotation of rigid diaphragms



(a) Frequently Occurs in Large Buildings with Large Expansion Joints



(b) Frequently Occurs in Buildings with Large Door Openings

Unsymmetrical shear walls

1.Stiffness Analysis

✓ For a structure with rectangular shear walls of the same material, with a wall height-to-length ratio of less than about 0.3, the flexural stiffness can be neglected, and the distribution made in accordance with the cross-sectional area of the walls. If the height-to-length ratio is greater than about 3.0, the shear stiffness can be neglected, and the distribution made in accordance with the moments of inertia, based on the plan dimensions of the wall.

✓When the height-to-length ratio is between 0.3 and 3.0, the effects of both shear and flexural deformations should be considered. In terms of stiffnesses:

Figure 3.10.26 Shear wall deflection



INERTIA CALCULATIONS



INERTIA CALCULATIONS

Force in the y-direction is distributed to a given wall at a given level due to an applied force in the y-direction at that level:

$$F_{y} = \frac{V_{y}K_{y}}{\Sigma K_{y}} + \frac{TV_{y}(x)K_{y}}{\Sigma K_{y}(x^{2}) + \Sigma K_{x}(y^{2})}$$
(Eq. 3.5.7.2)

Force in the x-direction is distributed to a given wall at a given level due to an applied force in the y-direction at that level:

$$F_{x} = \frac{TV_{y}(y)K_{x}}{\Sigma K_{y}(x^{2}) + \Sigma K_{x}(y^{2})}$$
(Eq. 3.5.7.3)

where:

- V_y = lateral force at the level being considered
- K_x, K_y = rigidity in the x- and y-directions, respectively, of the wall under consideration

FORCES CALCULATION

$$\label{eq:summation} \begin{split} \Sigma K_x, \ \Sigma K_y &= \text{summation of rigidities of all walls at} \\ & \text{the level in the x- and y-directions,} \\ & \text{respectively} \end{split}$$

Х

y

- = distance of the wall from the center of stiffness in the x-direction
 - = distance of the wall from the center of stiffness in the y-direction

Translation and rotation of rigid diaphragms



Figure 18: precast prototype at the end of the third test



: cast-in-place prototype under maximum displacement attained during the third test

CONCLUSIONS

A prefabricated and a cast-in-place prototype of one storey reinforced concrete structures for industrial buildings have been submitted to pseudodynamic tests in order to assess their response under earthquake loading. Both structures were designed according to Eurocode 8 (draft May 2001) in order to withstand the same base shear force and assuming the same behaviour factor equal to q = 4.95. Results showed that precast concrete structures are able to resist to earthquake loading as reliably as analogous (in the sense above specified) cast-in place ones. Due to the cantilever behaviour of precast columns, their seismic resistance may only rely upon the flexural resistance of the bottom edge sections. By the way the energy dissipation in prefabricated columns occurs within a volume of material which is almost equal to that involved at top and bottom edge sections of cast-in-place columns designed to withstand the same base shear force. A further confirmation to these hypotheses, mainly to the true equivalence of the behaviour factor q, will come from currently undergoing analyses.

EXAMPLE-2 35-STOREY





































35 Storey on completion




























external column beam construction to receive load bearing panels above open internal construction



PRECAST FACTORIES







































Load Bearing wall












		DUMMY JOINT ACID WASH FINISH WITH GREY CONCRETE
n i		
	nnnnn	
	명망망망망망망	
	비비비비비비	fan en ser en
	22222222	
	0000000	
	DDDDDDD	
	고망망망망망망망	
	4444444	
	2022222	
	DDDDDDD	
	ANARARA	
	DADADADADADADA	
	집집집집집집집	
	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
	(marging the second sec	
	2222222	
	DDDDDDD	
	DEPENDED	
	DEPENDEDEDEDE	
	चित्रहात्राहाल	
	11年1月1日日日日日日日日日日日日日日日日日日日日日日日日日日日日日日日日日	













PANEL TO PANEL CONNECTIONS








































































MONUMENTS



























Shopping mall



Medical College

finish texture





Details / embossing









CITIBANK Bahrain





STRUCTURAL CALCULATIONS

➢ Basis : Euro code, ACI, PCI

 ✓ Vertical load bearing corbel of the panel: This could either be placed at top or bottom

✓ Fixings to support horizontal force can either be at top or bottom
✓ The cladding to be designed for gravity, wind , Seismic and movements forces such as ,thermal, shrinkage and movement of supporting structure
✓ Allowances for tolerances for production, erection and supporting structure
✓ Design details to stop for any air and water leakage and also stopping condensation

ERECTION DETAILS



PANEL TO PANEL AND SLAB CONNECTION



ERECTION DETAILS



PANEL TO GROUND BEAM CONNECTION



PANEL TO PANEL AND SLAB CONNECTION


SANDWICH PANELS



Non-composite and composite panels



MEASUREMENTS:

PANEL THICKNESS	а	CUTTING LENGTH					
200 mm	500 mm	850 mm					
250 mm	640 mm	940 mm					
300 mm	780 mm	1030 mm					

BENDING DIAMETER: 40-60 mm

QUALITY: STAINLESS STEEL TYPE REF. DRG. 18.01 DIMENSION: 6 MM, DEFORMED BAR

HINTS FOR MOULD WORK:

THE POSITION OF THE HANGER IS IMPORTANT, THE DISTANCES 10 AND 30 MM MUST BE KEPT. THE HANGER MUST NOT BE MOVED OR BEND AFTER CASTING THE OUTER SKIN. BY POSITIONING THE MESH IN THE INNER SKIN HANGER MIGHT BE PRESSED DOWN TO THE INSULATION. IN THAT CASE CUT SHALL BE MADE IN THE MESH TO BRING THE HANGER IN THE CORRECT POSITION. A REPLACEMENT BAR IS THEN PLACED AS SHOWN ON THE SECTION.

CAPACITY FOR ONE HANGER:

	40 mm INS	BULATION	80 mm INSULATION					
DIST. TO CENTER	MAX LOAD (SLS)	AREA OUTER SKIN*	MAX LOAD (SLS)	AREA OUTER SKIN*				
0 mm	7.61 kN	3.80 m2	7.61 kN	3.80 m2				
500 mm	6.83 kN	3.41 m2	7.42 kN	3.71 m2				
1000 mm	6.04 kN	3.02 m2	7.22 kN	3.61 m2				
1500 mm	5.26 kN	2.63 m2	7.03 kN	3.51 m2				
2000 mm	4.47 kN	2.23 m2	6.83 kN	3.41 m2				
2500 mm	3.69 kN	1.84 m2	6.64 kN	3.32 m2				
3000 mm	2.90 KN	1.45 m2	6.44 KN	3.22 m2				

*) AREA IS AREA PR HANGER FOR THICKNESS OF OUTER SKIN = 80 MM. IF THICKNESS IS BIGGER OR IF ANY LOAD IS ADDED TO THE OUTER SKIN THEN THE AREA PR HANGER IS SMALLER.

























--

40

FOR PRODUCTION TOLERANCES REFER TO SEPARATE SHEETS.

34

40

10

2

Less material usage.



✓ Greater span-to-depth ratios,

Strands	Span	15.0	15.5	16.0	16.5	17.0	17.5	18.0	18.5	19.C	19.5	20.C	20.5	21.0
4x12.5 + 6x15.7 mm Mur= 888 KN-M Vco= 331 KN	Quls	17.7	16.1	14.5	13.1	11.9	10.7	9.7	8.74	7.8	7.01	6.24	5.53	
	QsIs	8.96	8.0	7.1	6.3	5.6	4.95	4.35	3.8	3.27	2.8	2.4	1.95	
	С	-10.2	-9.7	-9.0	-8.1	-6.93	-5.6	-4.0	-2.1	0.0	2.44	5.20	8.2	
	U	2.76	3.14	3.57	4.04	4.55	5.1	5.7	6.38	7.1	7.87	8.7	9.62	
5x12.5 + 6x15.7 mm Mur= 926 KN-M Vco= 338 KN	Quls	18.8	17.1	15.6	14.1	12.8	11.6	10.6	9.5	8.5	7.7	6.87	6.13	5.43
	QsIs	9.60	8.60	7.7	6.87	6.12	5.43	4.8	4.21	3.7	3.18	2.72	2.3	1.90
	С	-11.9	-11.5	-10.9	-10.1	-9.10	-7.89	-6.4	-4.7	-2.7	-0.4	2.2	5.14	8.45
	U	2.76	3.14	3.57	4.04	4.55	5.11	5.7	6.4	7.1	7.8	8.7	9.62	10.59
3x12.5 + 8x15.7 mm Mur= 953 KN-M Vco= 341 KN	Quls	19.6	17.8	16.2	14.7	13.4	12.1	11.0	9.99	9.02	8.13	7.31	6.54	5.83
	QsIs	10.1	9.1	8.2	7.35	6.57	5.85	5.2	4.59	4.04	3.52	3.05	2.6	2.19
	С		-13.2	-12.7	-12.1	-11.2	-10.1	-8.7	-7.1	-5.31	-3.16	-0.7	2.1	5.27
	U		3.14	3.5	4.04	4.55	5.1	5.7	6.38	7.1	7.87	8.7	9.62	10.59



ERECTION DETAILS



SLAB AND WALL CONNECTION

ERECTION DETAILS



SLAB AND WALL CONNECTION



ERECTION DETAILS



SLAB AND BEAM CONNECTION

























STRUCTURAL CALCULATIONS

► Basis , BS 8110:1997 & referred codes

✓ Designed as Class 2, service tensile stresses between 3.5 to 4.0 n/mm2 allowing tensile stress in concrete but no visible cracks

✓ Concrete cover for 1 hour fire rating

✓ Sections to meet requirements of spalling and bursting

 \checkmark On selecting the section , the element is checked as follows:

STRUCTURAL CALCULATIONS- continue

- Ultimate limit state, where shear for un-cracked and cracked section is verified, and Bending resistance at centre is compared with applied moment
- Serviceability limit state, where service stresses at transfer and at service loading stage are calculated together with deflection and compared with limit set up in codes

STRUCTURAL CALCULATIONS - continue

- The stresses at service stage are calculated after due consideration of losses (shrinkage strain of 0.0003, creep strain at imposed load of 1.4, elastic shortening and relaxation of strands at 1.25%)both immediate and time bound.
- The design should normally be validated by the load test in shear and bending.
 Since yield point for the strands is higher than rebar, the pre-stressed slabs will have higher factor of safety than the cast-in-situ structure, as is evident from the test slide
LOAD TEST



LOAD TEST



TYPICAL MIX DESIGN

Mix Design General Specification – Hollow Core Slabs For details and specifications of mix design, please follow EDP link (T:\General\Production\MIX DESIGNS\MIX DESIGN\ACTUAL MIX DESIGNS\HOLLOW CORE)

Mix no	28 days co. strength (N/mm ²)	Min. cement content (Kg/m ³)			Min minu					
		Grey	MSRC	White	silica kg/m3 Concret e	Slu (mm)	Fresh concrete density (Kg/m ³)	W/C max	Rems	
198-60	60	390			0	25	2468	0.38	Hollow core slabs	
1931-60	60	390			0	25	2468	0.38	Hollow core slab	
199-60	60	390			0	25	2468	0.38	Hollow core slab	
195-75	75	450			60	25	2468	0.32	Hollow core slabs	

THERMAL AND ACOUSTIC PROPERTIES

Slab type	R-value (m ² k/W)	U-Value (W/m ² °K)	noise reduction
HCS 150	0.12	8.33	50
HCS 200	0.15	6.67	53
HCS 265	0.17	6.00	56
HCS 320	0.2	5.0	58
HCS 400	0.22	4.75	60
HCS 500	0.24	4.43	63
HCS 150+ 75	0.16	6.0	54
HCS 200+ 75	0.19	5.1	57
HCS 265+ 75	0.23	4.4	61
HCS 320+ 75	0.24	4.0	62
HCS 400+ 75	0.28	3.5	64
HCS 500+ 75	0.34	2.9	68
Solid slab t=225	0.13	6.2	56
Solid slab t=275	0.17	5.75	59
Solid slab t=315	0.2	4.92	60
Solid slab t=395	0.25	4.0	56
Solid slab t=475	0.3	3.25	66







POSITION 1: 30Kn



POSITION 3: 30Kn







APPLICATIONS OF HCS















Miscellaneous



















NEW CONSTRUCTION TECHNOLOGIES (Precast / Mivan / Filigree / 3-D)

□ <u>PRECAST</u>:

New in Indian perspective, though extensively used in west and middle east.

□ <u>MIVAN :</u>

In use

□ **FILIGREE and 3-D**:

Never used as far as my knowledge goes







3-D Sandwich









DIFFERENT CONSTRUCTION METHODS								
	PRECAST	CAST-IN- SITU	MIVAN	FILIGREE	3-D, Sandwich			
Fast track construction Instant availability Less disturbance on site Color, Texture , appearance Detailed and embossed finish Mold ability Maintenance, life cycle cost Open plan flexibility Efficient span/ depth Pre-stressing								
Light weight, HCS High Strength, slabs Scaffolding , supports Easy extension Elements can be re-located Pre installed MEP Fire resistance								
Thermal efficiency Acoustic efficiency Low w c ratio, impermeable Corrosion, weathering Structural stability Hybrid construction On site plant, work force Contraction, expansion								
Potential leakage problems	PRECAST	CAST-IN- SITU	MIVAN	FILIGREE	3-D Sandwich			
Poor : Hereit	FAIR :	G EX	OOD (Celler	Т				